

DOCKET NO.: ATTW01-00025

PATENT

Customer No.: 34700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : JOHN MARK DAMMROSE ET AL.
U.S. Serial No. : 09/589,241
Filed : June 7, 2000
For : SYSTEM AND METHOD OF USING LOCAL NUMBER
PORTABILITY (LNP) TO REDIRECT TERMINATING CALLS
TO A SERVICE NODE
Group No. : 2642
Examiner : Thjuan P. Knowlin

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the following documents:

1. Postcard Receipt;
2. Appeal Brief (in triplicate);
3. Fee Transmittal for FY 2004 (in duplicate); and
4. Check in the amount of \$330.00 for the Appeal Brief filing fee.

relating to the above application, were deposited as "First Class Mail" with the United States Postal Service, addressed to: MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 7, 2004.


Date: Sept 7 2004

Mailer

Date: 9/7/4

Matthew S. Anderson
Reg. No. 39,093

P.O. Drawer 800889
Dallas, Texas 75380
Phone: (972) 628-3600
Fax: (972) 628-3616
E-mail: manderson@davismunck.com



PTO/SB/17 (10-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2004

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 330.00

Complete if Known

Application Number	09/589,241
Filing Date	06/07/2000
First Named Inventor	John Mark Dammrose
Examiner Name	Thjuan P. Knowlin
Art Unit	2642
Attorney Docket No.	ATTW01-00025

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)☒ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other ☐ None☐ Deposit Account:Deposit
Account
Number
Deposit
Account
Name

50-0208

Davis Munck

The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)

☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☒ Credit any overpayments☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.**FEE CALCULATION****1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
1001	770	2001	385	Utility filing fee	
1002	340	2002	170	Design filing fee	
1003	530	2003	265	Plant filing fee	
1004	770	2004	385	Reissue filing fee	
1005	160	2005	80	Provisional filing fee	

SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE

	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
Total Claims	-20** =	X	=
Independent Claims	-3** =	X	=
Multiple Dependent			=

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	
1202	18	2202	9	Claims in excess of 20
1201	86	2201	43	Independent claims in excess of 3
1203	290	2203	145	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
1204	86	2204	43	** Reissue independent claims over original patent
1205	18	2205	9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)

**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

FEE CALCULATION (continued)**3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity Small Entity

Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	
1253	950	2253	475	Extension for reply within third month	
1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1255	2,010	2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1401	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal	
1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	\$330.00
1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing	
1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - unintentional	
1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee	
1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee	
1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
1809	770	2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
1801	770	2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify)

*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 330.00

SUBMITTED BY

(Complete if applicable)

Name (Print/Type)	Matthew S. Anderson	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	39,093	Telephone	972-628-3600
Signature		Date	September 7, 2004		

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS.

SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IFW AF\$

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: :
: :
JOHN MARK DAMMROSE, ET AL. : Art Unit: **2642**
: :
Serial No. **09/589,241** : Examiner: **T.P. Knowlin**
: :
Filed: **June 7, 2000** : Atty's Docket: **ATTW01-00025**
: :
For: **SYSTEM AND METHOD** :
: :
OF USING LOCAL :
: :
NUMBER :
: :
PORTABILITY (LNP) :
: :
TO REDIRECT :
: :
TERMINATING CALLS :
: :
TO A SERVICE NODE :

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

Applicants herewith respectfully submit that the Examiner's decision of April 6, 2004, finally rejecting Claims 1-25 in the present application, should be reversed, in view of the following arguments and authorities. This Brief is submitted in triplicate on behalf of Appellant for the application identified above. A check is enclosed for the \$330.00 fee for filing a Brief on Appeal. Please charge any additional necessary fees to Deposit Account No. 50-0208.

09/10/2004 DEMMANU1 00000018 09589241

01 FC:1402

330.00 OP

Appeal Brief - Serial No. 09/589,241 Page i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities	iv
Real Party in Interest	1
Related Appeals or Interferences	1
Status of Claims	1
Status of Amendments after Final	1
 SUMMARY OF INVENTION	1
In General	1
Detailed Structure and Operation	5
 ISSUES	13
1. Are Claims 1-19 and 22-25 anticipated by Eskafi <i>et al.</i> (USP 6,438,223)?	13
2. Are Claims 20-21 obvious over Eskafi <i>et al.</i> (USP 6,438,223)?	13
 Grouping of Claims	14
 ARGUMENT	14
Stated Grounds of Rejection	14
3. Rejections under §102	14
Review of the Reference	15
Eskafi <i>et al.</i> (USP 6,438,223)	15
Analysis of Examiner's Rejection	15
4. Rejections under §103	23
Legal Standards	23
Analysis of Examiner's Rejection	23
 <i>Appeal Brief – Serial No. 09/589,241</i>	<i>Page ii</i>

Motivation to Combine or Modify	25
Grouping of Claims	30
REQUESTED RELIEF	34

APPENDIX A - Text of Claims on Appeal

APPENDIX B - Copy of Formal Drawings

APPENDIX C - Copy of United States Patent 6,438,223

APPENDIX D - Copy of ANST Telecom Glossary 2000 Page

APPENDIX E - Copy of Notice of Appeal previously filed

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<i>ACS Hospital Systems v. Montefiore Hospital</i> , 220 USPQ 929 (Fed.Cir. 1984).	14
35 U.S.C. §102	15
American National Standard for Telecommunications - Telecom Glossary 2000	14
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1, 148 U.S.P.Q. 459, 467 (1966).	23
<i>In re Mills</i> , 916 F.2d 680, 16 U.S.P.Q.2d 1430 (Fed.Cir. 1990).	25
<i>In re Nilssen</i> , 7 USPQ2d 1500 (Fed.Cir. 1988).	25
<i>Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.</i> , 713 F.2d 760, 771, 218 U.S.P.Q. 781, 789 (Fed Cir. 1983), <i>cert. denied</i> , 465 U.S. 1026 (1984)	14
<i>Minnesota Mining and Mfg. v. Johnson & Johnson Orthopaedics</i> , 24 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321, 1326 (Fed.Cir. 1992).	15
<i>Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.</i> , 1 USPQ2d 1593, 1597 (Fed.Cir. 1987).	25
<i>SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of Am.</i> , 775 F.2d 1107, 1125, 227 U.S.P.Q. 577, 588-89 (Fed. Cir. 1985)(<i>en banc</i>).	14
<i>Uniroyal, Inc. v. Rudkin-Wiley Corp.</i> , 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1434, 1438 (Fed.Cir. 1988).	23, 25

Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest, and assignee of this case, is AT&T Wireless Services, Inc., of Redmond, Washington.

Related Appeals or Interferences

To the best knowledge and belief of the undersigned attorney, there are none.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-25 are under final rejection, and are each appealed.

Status of Amendments after Final

No amendments were filed after final rejection.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

The following summary refers to disclosed embodiments and their advantages, but does not delimit any of the claimed inventions.

In General


This invention relates generally to communication networks and, more particularly, to a system and method of using Local Number Portability (LNP) features to redirect terminating calls to a service node, such as a Service Control Point (SCP), so that the network services to communication devices can be initiated, monitored, and billed.¹

In an Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) User Part (ISUP) quasi-associated signaling system, a landline telephone is

¹Specification page 1, lines 7-11.

typically serviced through a switch, or a Service Switching Point (SSP). Both the telephone and the SSP have fixed locations inside a district with an area code. Each SSP is associated with an exchange number, and the SSP maintains a database of the telephones it services.²

Fig. 1 is a schematic block diagram illustrating the concept of local number portability (LNP) in a communications network 10 (prior art). LNP permits a local telephone number to be moved from a first (original) exchange to a second (new) exchange, or moved from a first switch (SSP) to a second switch (SSP). That is, LNP processes permit the telephone number to become associated with the new exchange, despite the fact that the telephone number is from the old exchange. Telephone 12 is shown as formerly associated with switch 14, but presently associated with switch 16. The previous association with switch 14 is indicated with dotted line 18. Originating telephone 20 originates a telephone call to terminating telephone 12. Local exchange (N-1) switch 22 maintains a record that at least one number associated with switch 14 is a ported



²Specification page 1, lines 12-22.

local telephone number, or a number that has moved to a new exchange. Therefore, all numbers in that exchange (associated with switch 14) must be checked for LNP. Out-of-band communications are established between switch 22, through Signal Transfer Point (STP) 24 to a LNP database 26. LNP database 26 maintains a record of ported numbers. A Local Routing Number (LRN) is returned to switch 22 which permits switch 22 and switch 16 to establish a trunk connection for voice communications between telephones 20 and 12.³

The present invention provides a method for establishing trunk routes, or out-of-band signals through a virtual switch in a quasi-associated signaling system network. The method begins with a request to complete a call to a terminating telephone with a first telephone number. Then, it is determined if the number is ported. If ported, an LNP database is searched for LRN instructions associated with the first number. The LRN instructions direct the signaling to a service node. Depending upon the node type, the service node is inserted into either the voice or out-of-band signal path to monitor communications to the first telephone number. The service node replaces the loop-around process in some applications.⁴

Specifically, a Service Control Point (SCP), or some other service platform, is provided to act as the virtual switch to monitor out-of-band communications. The SCP, once engaged, establishes a switching protocol whereby the trunking path is completed. Then, the SCP can monitor the associated out-of-band communications in the trunk path. The out-of-band messaging provides information about the calling party, the called party, the start of the call, and the end of

³Specification page 2, lines 5-24, and figure 1.

⁴Specification page 6, lines 16-26.

the call. In response to the monitoring, special services are provided to the telephone receiving the call. The monitoring can also result in a billing step that is responsive to monitoring the out-of-band communications of the receiving telephone.⁵

Alternately, the service node can be an SSP or Intelligent Peripheral (IP) which can be inserted into the voice communications path to the device with the first telephone number. Then, special voice-related services can be monitored without the necessity of additional switches in the call path.⁶

A system for establishing signal paths for special services in a communications network is also provided. The system includes a terminating telephone to receive a call and a service node connected in the signal path to the terminating telephone. An LNP database with a list of LRNs cross-referenced with ported telephone numbers supplies LRN instructions to the service node. The service node provides network services to the terminating telephone in response to being connected in the signal path to the terminating telephone.⁷

As above, the service node is either an SCP connected in the out-of-band signal path to the terminating telephone, or an SSP or IP connected in the voice communications signal path to the terminating telephone.⁸

⁵Specification page 7, lines 1-13.

⁶Specification page 7, lines 14-18.


⁷Specification page 7, lines 19-26.

⁸Specification page 8, lines 1-4.

Detailed Structure and Operation

Fig. 4, below, is a schematic block diagram of a quasi-associated signaling communications network, including a system 100 for establishing network signal paths. In step 1 a call is placed by telephone 102 attempting to terminate to a mobile subscriber's terminating remote communication device, or terminating telephone 104. The terminating telephone 104 has a first telephone number, or MDN in wireless applications. The terminating telephone 104 sends and receives voice communications. The call arrives at the last switch, (N-1) Switch Signal Point (SSP) 106, outside of a mobile subscriber's terminating switch. The (N-1) switch 106 includes mechanisms which initiate a determination of whether the first telephone number of the terminating telephone 104 is a ported number. The (N-1) switch 106 initiates out-of-band communication signals with the LNP database 108 to determine the

FIG. 4



LRN of the first telephone number.⁹

In step 2 a determination has been made at LNP database 108 that the MDN, or first number, requires redirection, since it is a ported number.

In step 3 the call is delivered to the SCP service node 110 using ISUP signaling. The SCP 110 inserts itself into a call to process the monitoring of connect time, redirecting calls for announcements, and disconnecting calls that exceed specific thresholds. The key to the insertion is that the SCP 110 continues to receive and pass on all of the call progress messages between the switches 106 and 112, while simulating trigger events within the virtual switch 110.¹⁰

The SCP 110 is a network element controlling the call using ISUP signaling, and the SCP 110 provides network services to the terminating telephone 104 in response to being connected in the signal path. The SCP 110 can perform special feature handling using ISUP. For example, the call can be recalled to the SCP 110 for diversion to another destination or call release. Such services include prepaid plans where the mobile subscriber of the terminating telephone 104 has a prepaid account. The SCP 110 monitors the length of the call, or any other services performed, and debits the account for the monitored services. Other services include single number service and call attendant redirection services, such as redirecting calls using a time-of-day schedule. The service node 110 monitors communications with the terminating telephone 104 to provide billing information associated with network services used by the terminating telephone 104. The SCP 110 is considered a virtual

⁹Specification page 9, line 8 - page 10, line 2.

¹⁰Specification page 10, line 17 - page 11, line 14.

switch because the physical voice path between the (N-1) switch 106 and the MSC 112 becomes a virtual loop-around circuit.¹¹

In step 4 the ISUP portion of the call is forwarded to the MSC 112 for routing to the -final destination. In step 5 the call is completed, either delivered to the terminating telephone 104 or routed to another destination.¹²




Fig. 5, above, is a schematic block diagram illustrating an alternate aspect of the system of Fig. 4 for establishing signal paths. In Step 1 an originating remote communication device, or originating telephone 102, is attempting to terminate a call to a mobile subscriber's terminating remote communication device, or

¹¹Specification page 11, line 22 - page 12, line 11.

¹²Specification page 12, lines 12-26.

terminating telephone 104, having a first telephone number. The call arrives at the last switch, switch (N-1) 106, outside of a mobile subscriber's serving switch.¹³

In step 2 a determination has been made at the LNP database 108 that the first number (NIDN) requires redirection. Further, the LRN associated with the first number next directs the call to a service node 114.¹⁴

In step 3 the call is delivered to the service node 114 for special features handling. The service node 114 is selected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs, connected in the voice communication signal path to the terminating telephone 104. Examples of the services offered include prepaid service platforms and call attendant redirection services which require caller input, such as platforms and automated recordings that redirect calls for services in response to voice or dialing prompts from the telephone user.¹⁵

Service node 114, while providing services for the terminating telephone 104, acts as a trunk connection, reducing the total number of legs, or switches involved in terminating the call.¹⁶

In step 4 the call is forwarded to the MSC 112 for routing to the final destination. In step 5 the call is completed to the terminating telephone 104 or routed to another destination. As above, the use of service node 114 is equally applicable when the terminating

¹³Specification page 13, lines 1-9, and Figure 5.

¹⁴Specification page 13, lines 10-14.

¹⁵Specification page 13, lines 15-23.


¹⁶Specification page 13, line 24 - page 14, line 5.

telephone 104 is a landline telephone. In this scenario the MSC 112 would be depicted as an SSP (not shown).¹⁷

Fig. 7, at right, is a flowchart illustrating a method for establishing network signal paths. Step 200 begins with a quasi-associated signaling communications network. Step 202 receives a first telephone number for a terminating remote communication device, or terminating telephone. Step 204 searches for the Local Routing Number (LRN) instructions associated with the first telephone number. Step 206, following the LRN instructions, establishes a signal connection to a service node which monitors services. Step 208 establishes a signal connection between the service node and the terminating telephone. Step 210 is a product where the service node monitors signals to the terminating remote communication device.¹⁸

In some aspects of the invention Step 200 includes an SCP as the service node. Then, the signal connection between the SCP and

FIG. 7




¹⁷Specification page 14, lines 6-11.

¹⁸Specification page 15, line 23 - page 16, line 9, and Figure 7..

the terminating telephone in step 208 is an out-of-band signal connections, and the monitoring the signals by the service node in Step 210 includes the SCP monitoring out-of-band signals to and from the terminating telephone. The services include prepaid caller and universal number plans where the telephone user retains the same telephone number for a variety of telephone services.¹⁹

FIG. 8

Fig. 8, right, is a flowchart illustrating the present invention of Fig. 7, using the service node as a trunk connection. That is, the establishment of a signal connections in steps 206 and 208 includes establishing a trunk route for voice communications through the



service node. In this scenario, the service node is either an IP, an SSP, or a combination of the two. When the service node is an IP, step 212, uses the IP to monitor voice communication services. The voice communication services include voice mail, call screening, voice recognition, and other services involving voice capture and announcement.²⁰

Returning to Fig. 7, step 200 includes accessing an LNP database in some aspects of the invention. Then, in step 202a it is determined if the first telephone number is a ported number, and in

¹⁹Specification page 16, lines 10-17.

²⁰Specification page 16, lines 18-26, and Figure 8.

step 202b a search is made for the first telephone number in an LNP database. Step 204 includes retrieving the LRN associated with the first telephone number from the LNP database.²¹

In other aspects of the invention step 200 includes an originating remote communication device, or originating telephone. Then, in step 201 the originating telephone initiates voice communications with the terminating telephone. Step 202, the reception of the first telephone number, includes the originating telephone dialing the first telephone number.²²

In some aspects of the invention, step 200 includes accessing a terminating switch and an SSP. The establishment of a signal connection in step 208 includes sub-steps. Step 208a routes voice communications between the terminating telephone and a terminating switch associated with the terminating telephone. Step 208b makes a trunk connection between the terminating switch and an (N-1) SSP, and step 208c routes voice communications between the (N-1) SSP and the originating telephone.²³

In some aspects of the invention step 200 includes a wireless telephone as the terminating telephone and an MSC wireless network terminating switch. Then, the use of an LRN instructions to establish a signal connection in step 208 includes establishing voice communications between the MSC and the terminating telephone.²⁴

²¹Specification page 17, lines 1-6.


²²Specification page 17, lines 7-12.

²³Specification page 17, lines 13-20.

²⁴Specification page 17, lines 21-25.

Fig. 9, right, is a flowchart illustrating a method for using a service node to bill communication network services to a terminating remote communication device, or terminating telephone. Step 300 starts with a communications network. Step 302 enters the telephone number of the terminating telephone. Step 304 determines the Local Routing

FIG. 9



Number (LRN) associated with the telephone number. Step 306, in response to the LRN, accesses a service node in the signal path to the terminating telephone. Step 308 monitors the communications with the terminating telephone, using the service node to determine the service provided. Step 310 is a product where the terminating telephone is billed in response to the monitored services.²⁵

In some aspects of the invention, the terminating telephone is a wireless telephone. A further step, step 303, determines if the telephone number (MDN) of the wireless telephone is a ported number. Then, the LRN determination made in step 304 includes searching an LNP database of ported numbers to find the LRN.²⁶

In some aspects of the invention, the service node is an SCP. The monitoring of communications in step 308 includes monitoring out-of-band communication signals to the terminating telephone. Step 308 monitors services selected from the group including caller

²⁵Specification page 18, lines 1-11, and Figure 9.

²⁶Specification page 18, lines 12-16.

prepaid plans and universal number plans.²⁷

In other aspects of the invention, step 300 provides that the service node is selected from the group including of Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs. Then, the monitoring of communications in step 308 includes monitoring voice communications. When step 300 provides an IP service node, step 308 includes the monitored services being selected from the group including call screening, voice activation services, and voice mail.²⁸

ISSUES

- 1. Are Claims 1-19 and 22-25 anticipated by Eskafi et al. (USP 6,438,223)?**
- 2. Are Claims 20-21 obvious over Eskafi et al. (USP 6,438,223)?**

²⁷Specification page 18, lines 17-21.

²⁸Specification page 18, line 22 - page 19 line 2.

Grouping of Claims

The claims on appeal do not stand or fall together, as may be seen from the arguments set forth below. Each claim should be considered separately.

ARGUMENT

Stated Grounds of Rejection

The rejections outstanding against the Claims are as follows:

1. Claims 1-19 and 22-25 have been rejected under §102, as anticipated by Eskafi *et al.* (USP 6,438,223). See items 2-12 in the April 6, 2004 Office Action.
2. Claims 20-21 have been rejected under §103, as obvious over Eskafi *et al.* (USP 6,438,223). See items 14-15 in the April 6, 2004 Office Action.

3. Rejections under §102

Claims 1-19 and 22-25 have been rejected under §102, as anticipated by Eskafi *et al.* (USP 6,438,223). Anticipation cannot occur unless EVERY limitation of the claim reads on a single reference.²⁹

²⁹The requirements to show anticipation are strict:
A party asserting that a patent claim is anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102 "must demonstrate, among other things, identity of invention." *Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, 713 F.2d 760, 771, 218 U.S.P.Q. 781, 789 (Fed Cir. 1983), *cert. denied*, 465 U.S. 1026 (1984), *overruled in part on another ground*, *SRI Int'l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of Am.*, 775 F.2d 1107, 1125, 227 U.S.P.Q. 577, 588-89 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (*en banc*). Identity of invention is a question of fact, and one who seeks such a finding must show that each element of the claim in issue is found,
(continued...)

Review of the Reference

Some of the major technical differences between the reference applied and the disclosure of the present application will now be reviewed. Of course, these points in the specification do not define the scope or interpretation of any of the claims; they are listed merely to help appreciate the importance of the claim distinctions which will be reviewed thereafter.

Eskafi et al. (USP 6,438,223)

Eskafi et al. (hereinafter "Eskafi", a copy of which is attached as Appendix C) discloses a system and method for local number portability for telecommunications networks. According to Eskafi, a signaling packet for a call setup to a ported number is intercepted at a signal transfer point, where a query to a database returns a new address of the exchange to which the number has been ported. The new address is used to update the signaling packet at the signal transfer point in order to set up the call to the ported exchange.

While Eskafi addresses LNP, as does the present application, Eskafi fails to teach or suggest several specific claimed features, as discussed in detail below.

Analysis of Examiner's Rejection

In view of this analysis, it may be seen that there are some significant problems with the Examiner Knowlin's carefully stated

²⁹(...continued)
either expressly or under principles of inherency, in a single prior art reference, or that the claimed invention was previously known or embodied in a single prior art device or practice. *Id.*

Minnesota Mining and Mfg. v. Johnson & Johnson Orthopaedics,
24 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321, 1326 (Fed.Cir. 1992)

arguments.

A specific claimed distinction, identified and argued in each of Applicant's previous responses, is the claimed "service node" and its function of monitoring services. In some embodiments of the present application, the service node is implemented in a service control point (SCP). The specification describes, among other things, that

a Service Control Point (SCP), or some other service platform, is provided to act as the virtual switch to monitor out-of-band communications. ... Then, the SCP can monitor the associated out-of-band communications in the trunk path. The out-of-band messaging provides information about the calling party, the called party, the start of the call, and the end of the call. In response to the monitoring, special services are provided to the telephone receiving the call. The monitoring can also result in a billing step that is responsive to monitoring the out-of-band communications of the receiving telephone.³⁰

Eskafi does not include any similar teaching or suggestion. While Eskafi also discloses an SCP, a common feature for telecommunication signaling systems, Eskafi's SCP does not include the claimed function, and is described as a "database," as shown specifically below.

Independent Claim 1 requires "a service node which

³⁰Specification page 7, lines 1-13, in "Summary of the Invention."

monitors services ... and using the service node, monitoring signals to the terminating remote communication." Nothing in Eskafi appears to teach or suggest this feature. The passages of Eskafi do reference a Service Control Point (SCP), but a typical SCP does not monitor services, and typically provides a database lookup function and control functions, but no monitoring functions - and Eskafi does not describe that the SCP or any other component actually monitors services. In fact, the term "monitor" does not appear in Eskafi at all.

In the final Office Action, Examiner Knowlin appears to rely on Eskafi's SCP as the claimed service node, and specifically cites Eskafi col. 4-5 lines 60-13, col. 5 lines 34-57, and col. 13-15 lines 60-4. A careful analysis of these passages does not indicate that Eskafi's SCP monitors services. For convenience of reference, the portions of these passages that reference Eskafi's SCP are reproduced below, while the portions of those passages that do not reference the SCP are omitted.

Eskafi's col. 4-5, lines 60-13, in relevant part, describe the SCP as follows (note that although this passage references "Fig. 2A"; Eskafi does not have a Figure 2A):

FIG. 2A illustrates the LRN scheme for implementing Service Provider Portability In addition to the SCP database, each service provider is provisioned with an additional LNP-SCP database for storing the routing information for a ported subscriber. ... When a call to a DN that has been predefined as LNP portable, the Service Control Point's (SCP) service logic programmed in the exchange will initiate an AIN or IN based LNP query to the LNP-SCP to obtain the LRN for

the destination exchange to which the DN that has been ported. The queried LRN is then returned to the exchange to route the call accordingly.

This passage describes the "SCP Database," but does not teach or suggest that the SCP (or the SCP's service logic) monitors any services.

Eskafi's col. 5, lines 34-57, in relevant part, describe the SCP as follows:

At some point, a connecting exchange must look up the ported information from one of the LNP-SCPs in order to complete the circuit to the ported location. ... In step (2), this induces a STP to lookup LRN(DN1) from LNP-SCP.

This passage describes lookups into the SCP database, but again does not teach or suggest that the SCP monitors any services at all.

Eskafi's col. 13-14, lines 60-4, in relevant part, describe the SCP as follows:

This will trigger a query to an LNP database (LNP-SCP). The query is done via a STP in which the ISUP part is conventional, but the TCAP part of the SS7 message enables lookup to either an ONS or LNP database and the STP returns a query result to the exchange.

Again, nothing in this passage teaches or suggests that the SCP

monitors any services at all.

In specific response to Applicant's original argument on this point, Examiner Knowlin responded, in the final Office Action, "Eskafi does describe that the SCP (service node) and IP monitors services (col.3 lines 54-65, col. 4 lines 14-21, and col. 9 lines 36-51)." These passages are analyzed as follows.

Col. 3, lines 54-65, reads, in relevant part:

... The IP interacts with SCP to have the forwarding of DN1 to DN2 entered into the SCP. ... Subsequently, when a call to DN1 is received in X1, it will trigger X1 to obtain the call-forwarding information directing to DN2 by performing a lookup on the SCP. ...

This passage indicates that the "IP interacts with the SCP" to enter information into the SCP, and that a lookup is performed on the SCP. Nothing in this passage teaches or suggests that the SCP monitors services.

Col. 4, lines 14-21, reads in its entirety:

The intelligent network-based call-forwarding scheme improves on the switch-based scheme in that the call-forwarding information is not hard-coded into the exchange but rather retrievable from a more flexible database. The service need not be set up at the original access point L1 but could be set up by the subscriber from any access point including L2 that has access to the IP. Otherwise, it still has the same disadvantages as that of switch-based scheme.

This passage says nothing specific about the SCP at all, and has no teaching or suggestion of monitoring services.

Col. 9, lines 36-51 reads in its entirety:

In an Intelligent or Advanced Intelligent Network (IN/AIN), a set of databases 60 as provided by one or more Service Control Point (SCP) such as 62, 64 may be installed as a point in the SS7 network. The SCP 60 is a database for providing service related information or number porting information and is available for an exchange to retrieve information dynamically via an STP. As described earlier, in a network where service provider portability has been implemented, a LNP-SCP 62 has been added to store service provider number porting information. In one preferred embodiment of the present invention, a database OSCP 70 for storing information about ported number across arbitrary access points is also provided. The OSCP 70 is connectable to the OSTP 50 via the SS7 network 40 and/or via a high-speed connection 72. The set of databases 60 is maintained by a Local Service Management System (LSMS) 80.

This passage indicates that Eskafi's SCP, and its variations, serves as a database, storing information and accessible for lookups. Like the other cited passages, this one does not teach or suggest that the SCP monitors any services.

In the Advisory Action mailed June 20, 2004, Examiner Knowlin responds:

Although the actual term “monitor” does not appear in Eskafi, it does not mean that monitoring type actions are not taught in Eskafi. As long as monitoring type actions are disclosed in Eskafi (col. 3 lines 46-64 and col. 9 lines 36-51), the actual term “monitor” is not needed.

Of course, Examiner Knowlin is correct in that a feature or function can be taught without the use of a specific term. However, no “monitoring type actions” are disclosed in Eskafi. The relevant portions of both the passages cited by Examiner Knowlin in the Advisory Action are reproduced above, and it is evident that there is no teaching or suggestion of “monitoring” or “monitoring type functions” by the SCP.

So, although the Applicant has studied closely the entirety of Eskafi, and the passages cited by Examiner Knowlin in particular, Eskafi does not teach or suggest "using the service node, monitoring signals to the terminating remote communication." Since a service node as claimed is not taught or suggested by Eskafi, the anticipation rejection must fall, and Claim 1 should be allowed. Similarly, Claims 2-11, which depend (directly or indirectly) from Claim 1, should be allowed.

Independent Claim 12 requires "a service node connected in the signal path ... said LNP database supplying the LRN instruction to said service node ... in which said service node provides network services to said terminating remote communication device." Nothing in Eskafi appears to teach or suggest a service node connected and operating as claimed.

Examiner Knowlin combines his rejection of claim 12 with that of claim 1, relying on the same passages of Eskafi cited and reproduced above. Applicant has studied these passages, and the entirety of Eskafi, but again the Applicant can find nothing in Eskafi that describes the claimed limitations.

Since a service node as claimed is not taught or suggested by Eskafi, the anticipation rejection must fall, and Claim 12 should be allowed. Similarly, Claims 13-20, which depend (directly or indirectly) from Claim 1, should be allowed.

Applicant specifically notes that with regard to dependent claims 2, 13, 14, and 23, the claimed service node is identified as an SCP. A typical SCP, as noted above, may perform lookup or control functions, but does not typically perform the other claimed functions. The American National Standard for Telecommunications - Telecom Glossary 2000 defines an SCP as "An entity in the intelligent network that implements a service control function."³¹ As SCPs are not known in the art for performing the functions described and claimed by the present application, and Eskafi fails to describe these claimed functions either, these functions cannot be attributed to the SCP described in Eskafi.

Other specific non-obvious distinctions are noted below. While the Examiner Knowlin includes citations to Eskafi for these limitations in the final Office Action, in many cases an examination of the cited passage makes it clear that the limitations do not actually appear in Eskafi.

³¹See <http://www.atis.org/tg2k/>; a printout of the relevant page is included as Appendix D.

4. Rejections under §103

Claims 20-21 have been rejected under §103, as obvious over Eskafi.

Legal Standards³²

Analysis of Examiner's Rejection

Claim 20, which depends from Claim 12, requires that the “service node monitors communications with said terminating remote communication device to provide billing information associated with network services used by said terminating remote communication device.” Examiner Knowlin correctly notes that nothing in Eskafi discloses that the service node monitors the communication for

³²The Supreme Court has explained how to apply §103:

Under §103, the scope and content of the prior art are to be determined; differences between the prior art and the claims at issue are to be ascertained; and the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art resolved. Against this background, the obviousness or non-obviousness of the subject matter is determined.

Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 U.S.P.Q. 459, 467 (1966).

Obviousness cannot be inferred from a combination of references without a showing that one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine those references:

When prior art references require selective combination ... to render obvious a subsequent invention, there must be some reason for the combination other than the hindsight gained from the invention itself.... Something in the prior art as a whole must suggest the desirability, and thus the obviousness, of making the combination.

Uniroyal, Inc. v. Rudkin-Wiley Corp., 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1434, 1438 (Fed.Cir. 1988), quoting *Interconnect Planning Corp. v. Feil*, 227 U.S.P.Q. 543 (Fed.Cir. 1985), and *Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GmbH v. American Hoist & Derrick*, 221 U.S.P.Q. 481 (Fed.Cir. 1984).

billing purposes. In fact, nothing in Eskafi appears to teach or suggest any service node, as claimed in independent claim 12, that monitors communications as described (as more fully discussed with regard to claim 12, above).

Claim 21 requires “creating a service node in the signal path to the terminating telephone; monitoring the communications with the terminating telephone using the service node to determine the service provided; and billing the terminating telephone in response to the monitored services.” Again, nothing in Eskafi appears to teach or suggest any service node that monitors communications as described.

Further, nothing in Eskafi appears to teach or suggest "in response to the LRN, creating a service node...." (emphasis added).

As the features of claims 20 and 21 are not taught or suggested by Eskafi, these claims should be allowed, as should claims 22-25, that depend from Claim 21.

Motivation to Combine or Modify³³

Examiner Knowlin has not stated any motivation to combine or modify references to reproduce the claimed embodiments.

Particular Nonobvious Limitations

Thus, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "establishing a signal connection to a service node which monitors services" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 1.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "using the service node, monitoring signals to the terminating remote communication" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 1.

³³Where an obviousness rejection is based on a combination of references, the Examiner must show that one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine those references. See *In re Nilssen*, 7 USPQ2d 1500 (Fed.Cir. 1988); *Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.*, 1 USPQ2d 1593, 1597 (Fed.Cir. 1987); *ACS Hospital Systems v. Montefiore Hospital*, 220 USPQ 929 (Fed.Cir. 1984). "When prior art references require selective combination ... to render obvious a subsequent invention, there must be some reason for the combination other than the hindsight gained from the invention itself.... Something in the prior art as a whole must suggest the desirability, and thus the obviousness, of making the combination." *Uniroyal, Inc. v. Rudkin-Wiley Corp.*, 5 USPQ2d 1434, 1438 (Fed.Cir. 1988), quoting *Interconnect Planning Corp. v. Feil*, 227 USPQ 543 (Fed.Cir. 1985), and *Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GmbH v. American Hoist & Derrick*, 221 USPQ 481 (Fed.Cir. 1984). "While [a reference] may be capable of being modified to run the way [the applicant's] apparatus is claimed, there must be a suggestion or motivation in the reference to do so. See *In re Gordon*, 733 F.2d 900, 902, 221 USPQ 1125, 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1984) ("The mere fact that the prior art could be so modified would not have made the modification obvious unless the prior art suggested the desirability of the modification."). *In re Mills*, 916 F.2d 680, 16 U.S.P.Q.2d 1430 (Fed.Cir. 1990).

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which the monitoring of signals by the SCP includes monitoring out-of-band signals" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 2.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which monitoring the out-of-band signals includes determining the network services provided to the terminating remote communication device" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 3.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which the monitoring of services includes determining services selected from the group including prepaid caller plans and universal number plans" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 4.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "a signal connection between the service node and the terminating remote communications device includes establishing a trunk route for voice communications" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 5.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "the service node is selected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 6.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "establishing a signal connection through the IP to monitor voice communications services selected from the group including voice mail, call screening, voice recognition, and other services involving voice capture and

announcement" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 7.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "trunking between the terminating switch and an (N-1) SSP" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 10.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "the terminating remote unit is a wireless telephone and the terminating switch associated with the first number is a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) for a wireless network" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 11.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which said service node provides network services to said terminating remote communication device in response to being connected in the signal path" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 12.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which said service node is a Service Control Point (SCP) connected in the out-of-band signal path to said terminating remote communication device" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 13.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which said SCP monitors out-of-band communications to provide network services selected from the group including caller prepaid and universal number plans" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 14.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are

seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "said service node is selected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs, connected in the voice communication signal path to said terminating remote communication device" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 15.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "said service node is an IP to provide network services selected from the group including voice mail, voice recognition, call screening, and other services involving voice capture and announcement" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 16.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "said service node creates a trunk connection to said terminating switch" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 17.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which said terminating switch is a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) for a wireless network, said MSC being connected to said terminating remote communication device through a wireless medium" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 18.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "said service node monitors communications with said terminating remote communication device to provide billing information associated with network services used by said terminating remote communication device" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 20.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are

seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "creating a service node in the signal path to the terminating telephone; monitoring the communications with the terminating telephone using the service node to determine the service provided; and billing the terminating telephone in response to the monitored services" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 21.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "in which the monitoring of communications includes monitoring out-of-band communication signals to the terminating telephone; and in which the monitored services are selected from the group including caller prepaid plans and universal number plans" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 23.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "the service node is elected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), or combinations of Ips and SSPs; and in which the monitoring of communications includes monitoring voice communications" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 24.

Further, none of the references, singly or in combination, are seen to teach or suggest the claimed features of: "the monitored services are selected from the group including call screening, voice activation services, and voice mail" as recited, with other limitations, in the context of Claim 25.

Grouping of Claims

The claims on appeal do not stand or fall together, since they contain distinct recitations which are relevant to patentability and to the specific rejections stated. For example:

Claim 1 recites, among other limitations, using the service node, monitoring signals to the terminating remote communication. This recitation provides advantages, as may be seen from the application as filed, and is not taught or suggested by any of the references, singly or in combination.

Claim 2 recites, among other limitations, that the monitoring of signals by the SCP includes monitoring out-of-band signals.

Claim 3 recites, among other limitations, that monitoring the out-of-band signals includes determining the network services provided to the terminating remote communication device.

Claim 4 recites, among other limitations, that the monitoring of services includes determining services selected from the group including prepaid caller plans and universal number plans.

Claim 5 recites, among other limitations, that a signal connection between the service node and the terminating remote communications device includes establishing a trunk route for voice communications.

Claim 6 recites, among other limitations, that the service node is selected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs.

- Claim 7 recites, among other limitations, that a signal connection through the IP to monitor voice communications services is selected from the group including voice mail, call screening, voice recognition, and other services involving voice capture and announcement.
- Claim 10 recites, among other limitations, trunking between the terminating switch and an (N-1) SSP.
- Claim 11 recites, among other limitations, that the terminating remote unit is a wireless telephone and the terminating switch associated with the first number is a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) for a wireless network.
- Claim 12 recites, among other limitations, that said service node provides network services to said terminating remote communication device in response to being connected in the signal path.
- Claim 13 recites, among other limitations, that said service node is a Service Control Point (SCP) connected in the out-of-band signal path to said terminating remote communication device.
- Claim 14 recites, among other limitations, that said SCP monitors out-of-band communications to provide network services selected from the group including caller prepaid and universal number plans.
- Claim 15 recites, among other limitations, that said service node is selected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs, connected in the voice communication signal path to said terminating remote communication device.

- Claim 16 recites, among other limitations, that said service node is an IP to provide network services selected from the group including voice mail, voice recognition, call screening, and other services involving voice capture and announcement.
- Claim 17 recites, among other limitations, that said service node creates a trunk connection to said terminating switch.
- Claim 18 recites, among other limitations, that said terminating switch is a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) for a wireless network, said MSC being connected to said terminating remote communication device through a wireless medium.
- Claim 20 recites, among other limitations, that said service node monitors communications with said terminating remote communication device to provide billing information associated with network services used by said terminating remote communication device.
- Claim 21 recites, among other limitations, creating a service node in the signal path to the terminating telephone; monitoring the communications with the terminating telephone using the service node to determine the service provided; and billing the terminating telephone in response to the monitored services.
- Claim 23 recites, among other limitations, that the monitoring of communications includes monitoring out-of-band communication signals to the terminating telephone; and in which the monitored services are selected from the group including caller prepaid plans and universal number plans.

Claim 24 recites, among other limitations, that the service node is elected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service Switching Point (SSP), or combinations of Ips and SSPs; and in which the monitoring of communications includes monitoring voice communications.

Claim 25 recites, among other limitations, that "the monitored services are selected from the group including call screening, voice activation services, and voice mail.

Each claim should be considered separately; or at the very least each claim which is argued separately in the preceding sections of this brief should be considered separately. Argument: The fact that the claims use different formulations (as detailed above) and/or have been argued separately, shows that, if their patentability is not considered separately, any adverse decision would show that the limitations of some claims had been unfairly ignored.

REQUESTED RELIEF

The Board is respectfully requested to reverse the outstanding rejections and return this application to the Examiner for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matthew S. Anderson", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Matthew S. Anderson, Reg.No. 39,093, for:

DAVIS MUNCK PC
13155 Noel Rd., Suite 900
Dallas, TX 75240

Attorney for Applicant

September 7, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	:	
	:	
JOHN MARK DAMMROSE, ET AL.	:	Art Unit: 2642
	:	
Serial No. 09/589,241	:	Examiner: T.P. Knowlin
	:	
Filed: June 7, 2000	:	Atty's Docket: ATTW01-00025
	:	
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD	:	
OF USING LOCAL	:	
NUMBER	:	
PORTABILITY (LNP)	:	
TO REDIRECT	:	
TERMINATING CALLS	:	
TO A SERVICE NODE	:	

APPENDIX A -
Text of Claims on Appeal

1 1. (Original) In a quasi-associated signaling communications network
2 including a terminating remote communication device, a method of
3 establishing a network signal path, the method comprising:
4 receiving a first telephone number for a terminating remote
5 communication device;
6 searching for Local Routing Number (LRN) instructions, associated
7 with the first telephone number;
8 using the LRN instructions, establishing a signal connection to a
9 service node which monitors services;
10 establishing a signal connection between the service node and the
11 terminating remote communication device; and
12 using the service node, monitoring signals to the terminating remote
13 communication.

1 2. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the service node is a
2 Service Control Point (SCP);
3 in which the signal connection between the service node and the
4 terminating remote communication device is an out-of-band signal
5 connection; and
6 in which the monitoring of signals by the SCP includes monitoring
7 out-of-band signals.

1 3. (Original) The method of claim 2 in which monitoring the
2 out-of-band signals includes determining the network services
3 provided to the terminating remote communication device.

1 4. (Original) The method of claim 3 in which the monitoring of services
2 includes determining services selected from the group including
3 prepaid caller plans and universal number plans.

1 5. (Original) The method of claim 1 in which the establishment of a
2 signal connection between the service node and the terminating
3 remote communications device includes establishing a trunk route for
4 voice communications.

1 6. (Original) The method of claim 5 wherein the service node is selected
2 from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service
3 Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs.

1 7. (Original) The method of claim 6 wherein the service node is an IP,
2 the method further comprising:
3 establishing a signal connection through the IP to monitor voice
4 communications services selected from the group including voice
5 mail, call screening, voice recognition, and other services
6 involving voice capture and announcement.

1 8. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein a Local Number Portability
2 (LNP) database is accessed, the method further comprising:
3 determining if the first telephone number is a ported number;
4 searching for the first number in an LNP database; and
5 in which the search for the associated Local Routing Number (LRN)
6 includes retrieving the LRN instructions from the LNP database.

1 9. (Original) The method as in claim 8 wherein an originating remote
2 communication device is provided, the method further comprising:
3 using the originating remote communication device, initiating a voice
4 communication with the terminating remote communication
5 device; and
6 in which the reception of the first telephone number includes the
7 originating remote communication device dialing the first
8 telephone number.

1 10. (Original) The method of claim 9 wherein an (N-1) Switch Signal
2 Point (SSP) and a terminating switch are accessed, and in which
3 establishment of the signal connection between the service node and
4 the terminating remote communications device includes:
5 routing voice communication between the terminating remote
6 communication device and a terminating switch associated with the
7 terminating remote communication device;
8 trunking between the terminating switch and an (N-1) SSP; and
9 routing the voice communications between the (N-1) SSP and the
10 originating remote communication device.

1 11. (Original) The method of claim 10 wherein
2 the terminating remote unit is a wireless telephone and the
3 terminating switch associated with the first number is a Mobile
4 Switching Center (MSC) for a wireless network; and
5 in which routing of voice communications between the terminating
6 switch and the terminating remote communication device includes
7 establishing voice communication between the MSC and the
8 terminating remote communication device.

1 12. (Original) In a quasi-associated signaling communications
2 network, a system for establishing network signal paths comprising:
3 a terminating remote communication device, having a first telephone
4 number, to send and receive voice communications;
5 a service node connected in the signal path to said terminating remote
6 communication device;
7 a Local Number Portability (LNP) database including a
8 cross-referenced list of ported telephone numbers and Local
9 Routing Numbers (LRN)s, said LNP database supplying the LRN
10 instruction to said service node in response to the provision of the
11 first telephone number of said terminating remote communication
12 device; and
13 in which said service node provides network services to said
14 terminating remote communication device in response to being
15 connected in the signal path.

1 13. (Original) The system of claim 12 in which said service node is a
2 Service Control Point (SCP) connected in the out-of-band signal path
3 to said terminating remote communication device.

1 14. (Original) The system of claim 13 in which said SCP monitors
2 out-of-band communications to provide network services selected
3 from the group including caller prepaid and universal number plans.

1 15. (Original) The system of claim 12 in which said service node is

1 selected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s,
2 Service Switching Point (SSP), and combinations of IPs and SSPs,
3 connected in the voice communication signal path to said terminating
4 remote communication device.

5
6 16. (Original) The system of claim 15 in which said service node is an
7 IP to provide network services selected from the group including
8 voice mail, voice recognition, call screening, and other services
9 involving voice capture and announcement.

1 17. (Original) The system of claim 12 further comprising:
2 a terminating switch associated with the first telephone number of
3 said terminating remote communication device; and
4 in which said service node creates a trunk connection to said
5 terminating switch.

1 18. (Original) The system of claim 17 in which said terminating remote
2 communication device is a wireless telephone;
3 in which said terminating switch is a Mobile Switching Center (MSC)
4 for a wireless network, said MSC being connected to said
5 terminating remote communication device through a wireless
6 medium.

1 19. (Original) The system of claim 17 further comprising:
2 an (N-1) Switch Signal Point (SSP);
3 an originating remote communication device connected to said (N-1)
4 SSP, said originating remote communication device originating
5 and dialing the first number of said terminating remote
6 communication device;
7 in which said (N-1) SSP includes mechanisms for initiating the
8 determination of whether the first telephone number of said
9 terminating remote communication device is a ported number; in
10 which said (N-1) SSP initiates out-of-band communication signals
11 with said LNP to determine the LRN of the first telephone number;
12 and
13 in which said (N-1) SSP initiates communication with said service
14 node in response to receiving the LRN.

1 20. (Original) The system of claim 12 in which said service node
2 monitors communications with said terminating remote
3 communication device to provide billing information associated with
4 network services used by said terminating remote communication
5 device.

1 21. (Original) In a communications network, a method for using a
2 service node to bill a terminating telephone for network services, the
3 method comprises:
4 entering the telephone number of the terminating telephone;
5 determining the Local Routing Number (LRN} associated with the
6 telephone number of the terminating telephone;
7 in response to the LRN, creating a service node in the signal path to
8 the terminating telephone;
9 monitoring the communications with the terminating telephone using
10 the service node to determine the service provided; and
11 billing the terminating telephone in response to the monitored
12 services.

1 22. (Original) The method of claim 21 wherein the terminating
2 telephone is a wireless telephone, and further comprises:
3 determining if the telephone number of the wireless telephone is a
4 ported number; and
5 in which the LRN determination includes searching a Local Number
6 Portability (LNP) database of ported numbers to find the LRN.

1 23. (Original) The method of claim 22 wherein the service node is a
2 Service Control Point (SCP);
3 in which the monitoring of communications includes monitoring
4 out-of-band communication signals to the terminating telephone;
5 and
6 in which the monitored services are selected from the group including
7 caller prepaid plans and universal number plans.

1 24. (Original) The method of claim 22 wherein the service node is
2 elected from the group including Intelligent Peripherals (IP)s, Service
3 Switching Point (SSP), or combinations of IPs and SSPs; and
4 in which the monitoring of communications includes monitoring
5 voice communications.

1 25. (Original) The method of claim 24
2 in which the service node is an IP; and
3 in which the monitored services are selected from the group including
4 call screening, voice activation services, and voice mail.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	:	
	:	
JOHN MARK DAMMROSE, ET AL.	:	Art Unit: 2642
	:	
Serial No. 09/589,241	:	Examiner: T.P. Knowlin
	:	
Filed: June 7, 2000	:	Atty's Docket: ATTW01-00025
	:	
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD	:	
OF USING LOCAL	:	
NUMBER	:	
PORTABILITY (LNP)	:	
TO REDIRECT	:	
TERMINATING CALLS	:	
TO A SERVICE NODE	:	

APPENDIX B -
Copy of Formal Drawings

FIG. 1
PRIOR ART




FIG. 2

PRIOR ART




FIG. 3

PRIOR ART




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7






FIG. 8*FIG. 9*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	:	
	:	
JOHN MARK DAMMROSE, ET AL.	:	Art Unit: 2642
	:	
Serial No. 09/589,241	:	Examiner: T.P. Knowlin
	:	
Filed: June 7, 2000	:	Atty's Docket: ATTW01-00025
	:	
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD	:	
OF USING LOCAL	:	
NUMBER	:	
PORTABILITY (LNP)	:	
TO REDIRECT	:	
TERMINATING CALLS	:	
TO A SERVICE NODE	:	

APPENDIX D -
The American National Standard for Telecommunications
Telecom Glossary 2000

The following page is printed from The American National Standard for Telecommunications - Telecom Glossary 2000 at <http://www.atis.org/tg2k/>.

service control point (SCP)

service control point (SCP): An entity in the intelligent network that implements a service control function. [T1.667-1999]

This HTML version of Telecom Glossary 2K was last generated on Wed Feb 28 15:39:21 MST 2001. References can be found in the Foreword.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	:	
	:	
JOHN MARK DAMMROSE, ET AL.	:	Art Unit: 2642
	:	
Serial No. 09/589,241	:	Examiner: T.P. Knowlin
	:	
Filed: June 7, 2000	:	Atty's Docket: ATTW01-00025
	:	
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD	:	
OF USING LOCAL	:	
NUMBER	:	
PORTABILITY (LNP)	:	
TO REDIRECT	:	
TERMINATING CALLS	:	
TO A SERVICE NODE	:	

APPENDIX E -
Copy of Notice of Appeal Previously Filed

DOCKET NO.: ATTW01-00025
Customer No.: 34700

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: John Mark Dammrose et al.
Serial No.: 09/589,241
Filed: June 7, 2000
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD OF USING LOCAL NUMBER
PORTABILITY (LNP) TO REDIRECT TERMINATING
CALLS TO A SERVICE NODE
Group No.: 2642
Examiner: Thjuan P. Knowlin

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Appeals from the decision of the Examiner in the Office Action dated April 6, 2004, finally rejecting Claims 1-25.

A check in the amount of \$330.00 is enclosed in payment of the Notice of Appeal filing fee. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees connected with this

DOCKET NO.: ATTW01-00025
SERIAL NO.: 09/589,241
PATENT


communication (including any extension of time fees) or credit any overpayment to Davis
Munck Deposit Account No. 50-0208.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVIS MUNCK, P.C.

Date: 7/6/4

P.O. Drawer 800889
Dallas, Texas 75380
Tel: (972) 628-3600
Fax: (972) 628-3616
E-mail: manderson@davismunck.com


Matthew S. Anderson
Registration No. 39,093